Dear Frank, The articles are fine, and I have no comments on matters of substance. I am not sure volether you wanted me to send your articles on to the Magazine for you. Howeve, I do have a number of minor suggestions, made in pencil on the second copy, which you might like to consider, and I am therefore returning both copies to you. None of my suggestions would mivolve retyping - the alterations could easily be made in

Some articles of own appeared in The Magazine while we were in Seattle, and the edutor (Hugh Rippon?) claimed that his publishing schedule did not allow him time to send us the proofs and await Their return if the articles are likely to appear while you are away, I would be willing to deal with the proofs for you. If you would like me to do so, would you suggest this to the Eduta, and also let me have the second copy of the articles.

I do not have the exact congende for the Swedish Dance - my notes give only the corrected version's so I ame unable to fill in the it seems to me that it doesn't really help to give The congende details. However, I unless you are giving the complete description - which you aren't!

I have just received the microfile ad you copy of Warner Guide this morning, a write meture the latter when you get back for The States. I will also hold on to your copy of Manhemi. It is good of you to offer to send me plants, and I should be very delighted to have anything you can afford to send. Ow garden is essentially neutral or even acid soil, and I can find some shede for skade-dwellers.

I am busy trying to write up some work on hipschild spaces that I did last year at the time of the Warnick symptomi a Harmonic Analysis. I talked about it then, and have done so absorbere since. The delays is not entirely explained by moving house a depostmental alfans, mile was diversitied for this material work last summer.

Departmental work seens to be hanging on much late that would this yea. After the tong yeared of terms stability in The Dept here, 3 people have left simultaneously (tarabat goes to Calgary as Channia of the Dept there (at about 21/2 Time his Sheffeld salary), ad two of on young functional unalysts have gone to Newcastle & Chelsea. We were not able to advertue until quite late, but we had 33 applicants, 25 viso non-torrial. However, we feel that not if we advertise early next year we will do even better, so we have appointed only one man, Balas for Swannen (rather a catch), at have made two internal terparay These in then give us Approximents. We all two Junio's Research fellowships to fill (salary c 2900, like hoverword Research Assistats), and I a proceeding with This while Douglan Northcott is on holiday

whe have also had a second marathon bout of syllabas ramin, and this has only just been completed. There is now no find accord yee syllabas remany unchanged since I arrived!

Please return these three pages . Tom

p.6 The Yorkshire Square-Eight is the dance "That never was". Leta Douglas thanks two of her sources, one in Wherfedale and the other in Ribblesdale, "for different versions of "The square Eight" which have been combined in the notation given in this [her] book". That is precisely what she did. Bars 1-32 of the published version are from the Wharfedale version, and the rest is presumably the Rubblesdale version. Moreover, to call them 'different versions' of the same dance is misleading, for They were totally different dances!

The Wharfedale version was as follows:

- (i) Langstrothdale : Peter Beresfierd: Tune Buffalo Gords
- 1-8. Top & bottom couples advance & reture, then galop across to opposite place & swing partner.
- 9-16 Repeat to places
- 17-32 Sides the same.
- 33-40 Cirile 8 (galop round)
- (ii) Buckden: Mr Tumbull : Tune Buffalo Grils
- 1-8 Top and bottom comples walty forward I back & change places. 9-16 Sides the scime
- 17-32 All repeat to places
- 33-40 Circle 8 and back

Here Bars 1-32 are very similar to the version of l'Ete which was current a 1900 ( do you still have the card giving the instructions for the Quadrilles which we got from the Muse's Cowper in York? The

- 1-8 Top at bottom comples advance & reture & eross one to opposite person's place.
- 9-16 Repeat to places
- 17-24 Set of two partness
- 25-48 Sides the same.
- 49-96 Repeat 1-48.)

The second 32 bass of the Yorkshire Square -8 is really not so odd as here Douglas makes it sound. The top and bottom complex dance stars and hadres Cham with the comple on Their right, then the sides <u>repeat</u>. The figure is therefore of standard form, and it only sounds non-standard if you state all the instructions on though the top and bottom complex were the dancing couple Throughout. The figure stated in standard form, is certainly adjumentic, but no more so than the Visitation figure of hancers (dance round inith partnes miside the set, honoucing each couple in turn, then stars and baby basket with the third couple, se. the couple on yow left)

The last 64 bars of the Yorkshire Square-8 is merely one version of the Histotion Figure of Quedalles. The Histotion figure was a late addition to The First Set. Allan (c. 1860) says that it was sometimes added as a 6th figure; Willock (c. 1865) and Wallace (c. 1880) quie it as a 6th figure, but song that it was seldom danced, and was not considered fashion able; Coulon (1872) does not give it, Anderon (c. 1885) gives it as a 6th figure,



but says it was seldom danced, and gives a variant which he says had now replaced the other. Cowper (c. 1900) and Johnson (c. 1900) give it as the only 5th figure, Finale being dropped. Figures are:

1-4 hadnes to the centre of back

5-8 Men dito

9-16 All set at corners, men turn lady on left to pastness' place. 17-24 All promenade round to places.

25-96 Repeat to places.

I should add also that heta Douglas "antiques" her description (have you met the verb "to antique"-it is a rather nice Americanism) by saying that the comples " move forward a double and back" instead of the current "advance & reture".